the two houses. We do have the two houses. I prefer it, frankly, because I think, regardless of the comments, I think it serves a very valuable purpose when you have two bodies; but I think you ought to look into the size of those two bodies, as to what would be a practical size for the House as well as the Senate.

I think that 142 members in the House which we have now may be a little too large. I think it may make it a little unwieldy. It formerly was 123, and that was large enough, by any means, and when it went --

THE CHAIRMAN: Suppose, Mr. Mandel, now that you've gotten us to Question 9 -- before you came in we said that by no means do we feel this is a ladder, we're going to seek to cover all of these and not necessarily give all our comments today. There will be another opportunity at another time, and you might send us your comments at any time you choose, too; but since you moved us into Question 9 --

DELEGATE MANDEL: I think the two of them have to be considered together.

THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead and comment on this